Appl. No. 09/252,690 Amdr. Dated February 12, 2004 Reply to Office Action of August 12, 2003

REMARKS

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the prior art rejections set forth by the Examiner under 35 USC sections 102 and 103. Applicants respectfully submit that the prior art references of record, whether considered alone, or in combination, fail to either teach or suggest Applicants' presently claimed invention.

More specifically, Applicants note that the Examiner has rejected the claims as being anticipated by United States and No. 4,835,617. This prior art reference, however, is merely directed to an image pickup device which includes horizontal and vertical scanning circuits. The resetting vertical scanning circuit generates vertical scanning pulses prior to scanning of the reading vertical scanning circuits by a shutter time. When the reading vertical scanning circuit performs two-line at a time reading, the resetting vertical scanning circuit also performs two-line at a time reading. The reading vertical scanning circuit reads a signal on the lines reset by the resetting scanning circuit and outputs it as a video signal.

Significantly, in this reference, a signal from a pixel during the reading operation is output through signal line 149 and a signal from a pixel electronic shutter operation is output through a sensor reset drain 139. More specifically, two signal paths are required for performing electronic shutter operation and reading operation in the cited prior art. In contrast, in presently claimed invention, there is no requirement for the additional signal path for the electronic shutter operation. Both operations occur across a single signal line.

Applicants have modified the claims to underscore these distinctions. Applicants submit that

page 8 of 10

Appl. No. 09/252,690 Amdr. Dated February 12, 2004 Reply to Office Action of August 12, 2003

all independent claims now stand in condition for allowance. For the same reasons that the independent claims stand allowable over the art of record, the remaining claims also are similarly allowable over the art of record.

In light of foregoing, Applicants request that the Examiner now withdraw the claim rejections and allow all claims in the application.

Date: February 12, 2004

Robert J. Depke

Respectfully submitted,

HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLC 131 S. Dearborn, 50th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603 Tel: (312) 263-3600 Attorney for Applicant

page 9 of 10

Appl. No. 09/252,690 Amdt. Dated February 12, 2004 Reply to Office Action of August 12, 2003

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States

Postal Service as Express Mail on February 12, 2004 in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop RCE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Attorney for Applicants